

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/720,280		12/21/2000	Thomas Eckel	MO-6035/LEA-	1062
157	7590	11/20/2002			
BAYER CO			EXAMINER		
PATENT DE 100 BAYER		IENT	SZEKELY, PETER A		
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205					
	-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1714	11
				DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	1 *

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)						
√ .		09/720,280		ECKEL ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Peter Szeke	ly	1714						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply evithin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>07 October 2002</u>	<u>?</u> .							
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	b) This action is n	on-fina	al.						
·	at a state of the state of the condition to	for allowance except:	for fon	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-15,18,20,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-15,18,20,22 and 23</u> is/are	rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	quirem	nent.						
Applicati	on Papers									
9) 🗆 🧵	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		III bu the Everiner						
10) 🔲 🖯	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ (objecte	ed to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obje		oe held	g in apeyance. See 37 OFK 1.03(a).						
11) 🗆 -	The proposed drawing correction filed	•		ed b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are req		ce acti	uon.						
1	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
141	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) aper No(s)	4)							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/720,280

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claim s 2-15, 18, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsubishi Chemical Company EP 0 728 811, in view of Bodiger 5,849,827.
- 3. The rejection, as elucidated in Paper #9 is maintained in its entirety. The rejection elucidated in Paper #6 however, is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed 10/07/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Whether Mitsubishi teaches away from the use of phosphorus containing flame-retardants, which are not phosphazanes is immaterial. Bodiger et al. name as preferred flame-retardants " all phosphorus compounds conventionally used", (column 8, lines 21-22), which definition definitely includes phosphazanes. The fact that the reference does not specifically names phosphazanes, does not mean that they are not included in the phrase "all phosphorus compounds". Since the finely divided filler improves the flame retardance of all phosphorus compounds, its inclusion into the flame retardant composition of Mitsubishi would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, "consisting essentially of" does not exclude the presence of other flame-retardants, unless they interfere with the invention. "Consisting essentially of" is not "consisting of".

Application/Control Number: 09/720,280

Art Unit: 1714

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is 703-308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/720,280

Art Unit: 1714

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. November 15, 2002